

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nayolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/477,991	01/05/2000	BRYCE A. JONES	1264	1039
28004 SPRINT	7590 03/30/2019	0	EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT		BURGESS, BARBARA N		
KSOPHT010 OVERLAND	1-Z2100 PARK, KS 66251-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/477,991		JONES, BRYCE A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	

	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods;</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) \( \bar{\text{\texititt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{\texitiext{\text{\text{\texit{\texi{\text{\texi{\text{\text{\	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.196(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period char under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office are range reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belover)	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12     Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. M For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE.		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>166-185</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-165 (previously</u> - AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	cancelled).		
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but see statement below.</li> </ol>		n condition for allowan	ce because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/O 1 1 A1 1 II 1 '/		

/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457 The Office notes the following argument(s):

- (a) The agent ultimately responding to the request originates a call to the customer requesting the call-back. The voice call does not originate from the user device. (b) Goss does not teach or suggest "receiving the voice call originating from the user device including the cookie".
- (c) Although the call-back request is ultimately transferred to the agent, the request does not constitute a voice call routed to the agent.
- (d) Goss does not teach or suggest "processing the cookie from the user device to select on of the call center resources".
- 10. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

## In response to:

- (a) Applicant's Specification discloses the web call server receives a call request message originating from the communication device. The call request message is any message or signaling used to begin the web call. Also, in some embodiments, the call request message is the web call itself (Specification, page 5, line 30, page 6, lines 1-3). Goss teaches the Contact Server receiving a VOIP contact request or call-back request from a customer. This request is sent by the customer's Internet device (column 2, lines 10-12, 21-27, 45-49, column 3, lines 30-33. column 7, lines 62-67.
- Therefore, Goss undoubtedly discloses the voice call originating from the user device.
- (b), (d) The customer requests contact with an agent, the Server uses the
- information from the cookies (information from the session between the customer's browser and the Server are stored in the cookies) to direct the request to a qualified agent. Goss states, "the Web Server maintains a session with the customer browser over the Internet using cookies or other session maintenance technology. This way, when the customer submits a call-back request, the Web Server can identify that customer for the purpose of matching the call-back request to a qualified agent" (column 7, lines 62-67). Therefore, Goss indeed teaches "receiving the voice call originating from the user device including the cookie".
- (c) Examiner thanks Applicant for acknowledging "the call-back request is ultimately transferred to the agent". Goss discloses many ways in which communication between a customer and agent

takes place. The preferred embodiment utilizes http and telephony communications. A customer with voice telephony or video telephony can communicate with the agent via these applications. A customer initiates a call-back request (voice call) using any of these application when the need arises to speak with an agent. The customer gives certain information in the request such as customer's name, telephone #, and other information. This call-back request is then routed to the appropriate agent. Goss explicitly states, when a call-back request is received from a customer, it must be sent to an agent who is trained to service the corporate business client represented by the customer' (column 5, lines 11-15, column 9, lines 1-4, 6-6, column 10, lines 1-4). Therefore, the call-back request (voice call) is without a doubt routed to a selected call center resource (agent).